arXiv:math/0102149v2 [math.QA] 19 Apr 2001

THE KERNEL OF THE MODULAR REPRESENTATION AND THE GALOIS ACTION IN RCFT

P. BANTAY

Abstract. It is shown that for the modular representations associated to Rational Conformal Field Theories, the kernel is a congruence subgroup whose level equals the order of the Dehn-twist. An explicit algebraic characterization of the kernel is given. It is also shown that the conductor, i.e. the order of the Dehn-twist is bounded by a function of the number of primary fields, allowing for a systematic enumeration of the modular representations coming from RCFTs. Restrictions on the spectrum of the Dehn-twist and arithmetic properties of modular matrix elements are presented.

1. Introduction

It is known since the work of Cardy [1] that a most important feature of Conformal Field Theory is modular invariance: the genus one characters of the chiral algebra afford a unitary representation of the modular group $\Gamma(1) = SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$, and the torus partition function is an invariant sesquilinear combination of them. In fact, this is not simply a unitary representation, because it comes with a distinguished basis formed by the genus one characters of the primary fields. The corresponding representation matrices enjoy many intriguing algebraic and arithmetic properties, the most important ones being summarized in Verlinde's theorem [2][3], but one may also cite the trace identities of [4] and other related results. These special features are related to the fact that the modular representation provides the defining data of a 3D Topological Field Theory [5][6].

A most important characteristic of the modular representation is its kernel, the normal subgroup of $\Gamma(1)$ whose elements are represented by the identity matrix. It has been conjectured by several authors [7][8][9][10] that the kernel is a congruence subgroup, i.e. it contains some principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$. This would have important consequences for a better understanding of the analytic and arithmetic properties of the genus one characters. No general proof of the above congruence subgroup property may be found in the literature, the best known result being that the congruence property holds if the Dehn-twist T is represented by a matrix of odd order [11], but for generic RCFTs the order of T tends to be even, as can be seen on the example of (Virasoro) minimal models.

The aim of the present paper is to present a proof of the congruence subgroup property for an arbitrary RCFT. We shall do this by studying the Galois action [12][13] in the RCFT and in suitable permutation

---

Date: 2001 February 18 .
1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 81T40.
Key words and phrases. conformal field theory, modular representation, congruence subgroups.
Work supported by grant OTKA T19477.

orbifolds of it. By exploiting the knowledge of the modular representation of these permutation orbifolds, we'll be able to give a simple description of the Galois action on arbitrary modular matrices. This in turn will allow us to write down a simple expression for the matrix elements of an arbitrary modular transformation, leading to a straightforward characterization of the kernel and an immediate proof of the congruence subgroup property. It should be emphasized that the results we present are much more powerful than the congruence property itself, for they allow a more refined study of the interplay between the arithmetic properties of modular matrix elements and the group theoretic properties of the modular representation.

2. The Galois action

In this section we'll review the basic aspects of the Galois action in RCFT, beginning with some standard facts about the modular representation, mostly to set the notation.

Let's consider a Rational Conformal Field Theory $\mathcal{C}$ with a finite set $\mathcal{I}$ of primary fields. The genus one characters $\chi_p(\tau)$ of the primaries $p \in \mathcal{I}$ transform according to a unitary representation of the modular group $\Gamma(1)$, i.e. for $m = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$ we have

$$\chi_p\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = \sum_q M_p^q \chi_q(\tau) \tag{1}$$

In the sequel, we shall always denote by M the matrix representing $m \in \Gamma(1)$ in the basis of genus one characters.

Of special interest are the matrices T and S representing $t = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. As s and t generate the modular group $\Gamma(1)$, any representation matrix M may be written in terms of S and T, although the resulting expression may be quite cumbersome. It follows from the defining relations of $\Gamma(1)$ that

$$STS = T^{-1}ST^{-1} \tag{2}$$

$$S^4 = 1 \tag{3}$$

Moreover, it is known that S^2 is the charge conjugation operator, i.e.

$$\left(S^2\right)_p^q = \delta_{p,\overline{q}} \tag{4}$$

where $\overline{q}$ denotes the charge conjugate of the primary q.

The most important properties of S and T are summarized in the celebrated theorem of Verlinde [2]:

1. T is diagonal of finite order.
2. S is symmetric.
3. The quantities

$$N_{pqr} = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{I}} \frac{S_{ps}S_{qs}S_{rs}}{S_{0s}}$$

are non-negative integers, being the dimension of suitable spaces of holomorphic blocks. Here and in the sequel, the label 0 refers to the vacuum of the theory.

The basic idea in the theory of the Galois action [12][13] is to look at the field F obtained by adjoining to the rationals $\mathbb{Q}$ the matrix elements of all modular transformations. One may show that, as a consequence of Verlinde's theorem, F is a finite Abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. By the celebrated theorem of Kronecker and Weber this means that F is a subfield of some cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_n\right]$ for some integer n, where $\zeta_n = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{n}\right)$ is a primitive n-th root of unity. We'll call the conductor of $\mathcal{C}$ the smallest n for which $F \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_n\right]$ and which is divisible by the order of the Dehn-twist.

Among other things, the above results imply that the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}\left(F/\mathbb{Q}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of the Galois group $\mathcal{G}_n = \mathrm{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_n\right]/\mathbb{Q}\right)$. But it is well known that $\mathcal{G}_n$ is isomorphic to the group $\left(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}\right)^*$ of prime residues modulo n, its elements being the Frobenius maps $\sigma_l : \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_n\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_n\right]$ that leave $\mathbb{Q}$ fixed, and send ζ_n to ζ_n^l for l coprime to n. Consequently, the maps σ_l are automorphisms of F over $\mathbb{Q}$.

According to [13], we have (for l coprime to the conductor)

$$\sigma_l\left(S_p^q\right) = \varepsilon_l(q) S_p^{\pi_l q} \tag{5}$$

for some permutation $\pi_l \in Sym\left(\mathcal{I}\right)$ of the primaries and some function $\varepsilon_l : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \{-1, +1\}$. In other words, upon introducing the orthogonal monomial matrices

$$\left(G_l\right)_p^q = \varepsilon_l(q)\delta_{p,\pi_l q} \tag{6}$$

and denoting by $\sigma_l\left(M\right)$ the matrix that one obtains by applying σ_l to M elementwise, we have

$$\sigma_l\left(S\right) = S G_l = G_l^{-1} S \tag{7}$$

Note that for l and m both coprime to the conductor

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{lm} &= \pi_l \pi_m \\ G_{lm} &= G_l G_m \end{aligned}$$

The Galois action on T is even simpler, for T is diagonal, and its eigenvalues are roots of unity, consequently

$$\sigma_l\left(T\right) = T^l \tag{8}$$

3. Galois action on Λ matrices

In this section we'll study the Galois action in some appropriate permutation orbifold [14]. According to the Orbifold Covariance Principle [15], all the properties of the Galois action reviewed in the previous section should hold in the permutation orbifold, in particular the Galois action on the S-matrix elements may be described via suitable permutations π_l of the primaries of the orbifold and signs ε_l . This will in turn allow us to determine the Galois action on Λ-matrices.

We fix a positive integer N, and consider the group Ω generated by the cyclic permutation $(1, \ldots, N)$. One can then form the permutation orbifold $\mathcal{C}\wr\Omega$ according to [16][17], which is a new RCFT with explicitly known genus one characters and modular transformation matrices. Among the primary fields of the permutation orbifold $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ there is a subset $\mathcal{J}$ of special relevance to us. The primaries in $\mathcal{J}$ are labeled by triples $[p,n,k]$, where $p \in \mathcal{I}$ is a primary of $\mathcal{C}$, while n and k are integers mod N. The subset of those $[p,n,k]$ where n is coprime to N will be denoted by $\mathcal{J}_0$. It follows from the general theory of permutation orbifolds [16][17] that the primary fields $[p,n,k] \in \mathcal{J}_0$ have vanishing S-matrix elements with the primaries not in $\mathcal{J}$, while for $[q,m,l] \in \mathcal{J}$ we have[1]

$$S_{[p,n,k]}^{[q,m,l]} = \frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-(km+ln)}\Lambda_p^q\left(\frac{m\hat{n}}{N}\right) \tag{9}$$

where $\hat{n}$ denotes the mod N inverse of n and $\zeta_N = \exp\left(\frac{2\pi i}{N}\right)$.

Let's now consider the action of a Galois transformation σ_l with l coprime to N. According to the results of the previous section, we have [2]

$$\sigma_l\left(S_q^{[p,n,k]}\right) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_l\left(p,n,k\right)S_q^{\tilde{\pi}_l[p,n,k]} \tag{10}$$

for some permutation $\tilde{\pi}_l$ of the primaries of $\mathcal{C} \wr \Omega$ and some signs $\tilde{\varepsilon}_l$.

Lemma 1. *The set $\mathcal{J}$ is invariant under the permutations $\tilde{\pi}_l$, i.e. $\tilde{\pi}_l\left(\mathcal{J}\right) = \mathcal{J}$. For a primary $[p,n,k] \in \mathcal{J}_0$ one has*

$$\tilde{\pi}_l\left[p,n,k\right] = \left[\pi_l p, ln, \tilde{k}\right] \tag{11}$$

for some function $\tilde{k}$ of l,p,n and k, and

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_l\left(p,n,k\right) = \varepsilon_l(p) \tag{12}$$

Proof. First, let's fix $[p,n,k] \in \mathcal{J}$. According to Eq.(9), we have

$$S_{[q,1,0]}^{[p,n,k]} = \frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-k}\Lambda_q^p\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)$$

and this expression differs from 0 for at least one $q \in \mathcal{I}$, by the unitarity of Λ-matrices. Select such a $q \in \mathcal{I}$, and apply σ_l to both sides of the equation. One gets that

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_l\left(p,n,k\right)S_{[q,1,0]}^{\tilde{\pi}_l[p,n,k]} = \sigma_l\left(S_{[q,1,0]}^{[p,n,k]}\right)$$

differs from 0, but this can only happen if $\tilde{\pi}_l\left[p,n,k\right] \in \mathcal{J}$ because $[q,1,0] \in \mathcal{J}_0$.

Next, for $[p,n,k] \in \mathcal{J}_0$ consider

$$S_{[q,0,m]}^{[p,n,k]} = \frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-nm}S_q^p \tag{13}$$

Applying σ_l to both sides of the above equation we get from Eq.(5)

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_l(p,n,k)S_{[q,0,m]}^{\tilde{\pi}_l[p,n,k]} = \frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-lnm}\varepsilon_l(p)S_q^{\pi_l p}$$

---

[1] For the definition and basic properties of Λ-matrices, see the Appendix.

[2] We'll always assume that l is coprime to the conductor, but this is no loss of generality, as it can always be achieved according to Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions.

But the lhs. equals

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_l(p,n,k)\frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-\tilde{n}m}S_q^{\tilde{p}}$$

according to Eq.(13) if $\tilde{\pi}_l\left[p,n,k\right]=\left[\tilde{p},\tilde{n},\tilde{k}\right]$. Equating both sides we arrive at

$$S_q^{\tilde{p}}=\varepsilon_l(p)\tilde{\varepsilon}_l(p,n,k)\zeta_N^{-m(\tilde{n}-ln)}S_q^{\pi_l p}$$

As the lhs. is independent of m, we must have

$$\tilde{n}\equiv ln \pmod N$$

and

$$\tilde{p}=\pi_l p$$

as well as

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_l(p,n,k)=\varepsilon_l(p)$$

□

Proposition 1. *For l coprime to the denominator of r*

$$\sigma_l\left(\Lambda\left(r\right)\right)=\Lambda\left(lr\right)G_l Z_l(r^*)=Z_l\left(r\right)G_l^{-1}\Lambda(\hat{l}r) \tag{14}$$

where $Z_l(r)$ is a diagonal matrix whose order divides the denominator N of r, and $\hat{l}$ is the mod N inverse of l.

Proof. Write $r=\frac{n}{N}$, with n coprime to N, and recall that $r^*=\frac{\hat{n}}{N}$, where $\hat{n}$ is the mod N inverse of n. Consider

$$S_{[q,1,m]}^{[p,n,k]}=\frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-(k+nm)}\Lambda_q^p\left(\frac{n}{N}\right) \tag{15}$$

Applying σ_l to both sides of the above equation and taking into account Eqs. (11,12), we get

$$\varepsilon_l(p)S_{[q,1,m]}^{\left[\pi_l p,ln,\tilde{k}\right]}=\frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-l(k+nm)}\sigma_l\left(\Lambda_q^p\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)\right)$$

But form Eq.(15), the lhs. equals

$$\varepsilon_l(p)\frac{1}{N}\zeta_N^{-(\tilde{k}+lnm)}\Lambda_q^{\pi_l p}\left(\frac{ln}{N}\right)$$

so after rearranging, we get

$$\sigma_l\left(\Lambda_q^p\left(\frac{n}{N}\right)\right)=\varepsilon_l(p)\zeta_N^{(lk-\tilde{k})}\Lambda_q^{\pi_l p}\left(\frac{ln}{N}\right) \tag{16}$$

As the lhs. is independent of k

$$\tilde{k}-lk\equiv k_0\left(l,p,r\right) \pmod N \tag{17}$$

Of course, the point is that k_0 is independent of k. If we introduce the diagonal matrix

$$Z_l\left(r\right)_p^q = \delta_{p,q}\zeta_N^{-k_0(l,p,r^*)} \tag{18}$$

then it is obvious that the order of $Z_l(r)$ divides the denominator of r and the first equality in Eq.(14) holds. The second follows simply from $\Lambda\left(r\right)^T = \Lambda\left(r^*\right)$. □

Note that it follows from the definition of $Z_l(r)$ that $Z_l(0) = \mathbb{I}$ and $Z_l(r+1) = Z_l(r)$.

Lemma 2.

$$G_l^{-1} Z_m(\hat{l}r) G_l = Z_{lm}(r) Z_l^{-m}(r) \tag{19}$$

whenever both l *and* m *are coprime to the denominator of* r*.*

Proof. This follows at once from $\sigma_{lm} = \sigma_l\sigma_m$ applied to $\Lambda(r)$. □

Lemma 3. *If* n *is coprime to the denominator of* r*, then*

$$Z_l^n\left(r\right) = Z_l\left(nr\right) \tag{20}$$

Proof. Let $r = \frac{m}{N}$, with m coprime to N. According to Eq.(9)

$$NS_{[p,n,0]}^{[q,nm,0]} = \Lambda_p^q\left(r\right)$$

Applying σ_l to both sides we get

$$\sigma_l\left(\Lambda_p^q\left(r\right)\right) = N\varepsilon_l(q) S_{[p,n,0]}^{[\pi_l q, lnm, k_0]} = \varepsilon_l(q)\zeta_N^{-nk_0\left(l,q,\frac{nm}{N}\right)}\Lambda\left(lr\right)_p^{\pi_l q}$$

i.e.

$$\Lambda\left(lr\right) G_l Z_l\left(r^*\right) = \Lambda\left(lr\right) G_l Z_l^n\left(\hat{n}r^*\right)$$

by Eq.(14), i.e.

$$Z_l\left(r\right) = Z_l^n\left(\hat{n}r\right)$$

But this is equivalent to the assertion. □

Theorem 1. *For all* l *coprime to the conductor*

$$G_l^{-1} T G_l = T^{l^2} \tag{21}$$

Proof. Take N equal to the order of T. Clearly, N divides the conductor. By Eq.(31) of the Appendix,

$$\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) = T^{-\frac{1}{N}} S^{-1} T^{-N} S T^{-\frac{1}{N}} = T^{-\frac{2}{N}}$$

But

$$\sigma_l^2\left(\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) = \sigma_l\left(\Lambda\left(\frac{l}{N}\right) G_l Z_l\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)\right) = Z_l\left(\frac{l}{N}\right) G_l^{-1}\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) G_l Z_l^l\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)$$

or in other words

$$T^{-\frac{2l^2}{N}} = G_l^{-1} T^{-\frac{2}{N}} G_l Z_l^2 \left(\frac{l}{N}\right)$$

because both Z_l and T are diagonal, hence they commute. If N is even, then taking the $\frac{N}{2}$-th power of the last equation gives the result. If N is odd, then there exists k such that $2k \equiv 1 \pmod N$, and taking the kN-th power of the equation gives again Eq.(21). □

Remark 1. This result has been conjectured in [11], where some of its consequences (to be reviewed in the next section) had been derived.

Corollary 1.

$$G_l^{-1} M G_l = \sigma_l^2 (M) \tag{22}$$

for any modular matrix M.

Proof. We have just seen that it holds for T, and it also holds for S by Eq.(7). But s and t generate $\Gamma(1)$, consequently the claim should hold for any $m \in \Gamma(1)$. □

Proposition 2. *If l is coprime to both the conductor and the denominator of r, then*

$$G_l^{-1} T^r G_l = T^{l^2 r} Z_l^l (r) \tag{23}$$

Proof. Let's write $r = \frac{n}{N}$. We know from [16][17] that

$$\omega_{[p,n,k]} = \zeta_N^{nk} \omega_p^{1/N}$$

where $\omega_p = \exp\left(2\pi i \left(\Delta_p - \frac{c}{24}\right)\right)$ is the exponentiated conformal weight of the primary p, i.e. the matrix element T_{pp}. By Eq.(21)

$$\omega_p^{l^2/N} = \omega_{\tilde{\pi}_l [p,1,0]} = \omega_{[\pi_l p, l, k_0]} = \zeta_N^{lk_0} \omega_{\pi_l p}^{1/N}$$

i.e.

$$Z_l^l \left(\frac{1}{N}\right)_{pp} = \zeta_N^{-lk_0 (l,p,1/N)} = \omega_{\pi_l p}^{1/N} \omega_p^{-l^2/N}$$

or in other words

$$Z_l^l \left(\frac{1}{N}\right) = G_l^{-1} T^{\frac{1}{N}} G_l T^{-\frac{l^2}{N}}$$

Taking the n-th power of the last equation and using Eq.(20) gives the result, because Z_l and T commute. □

Lemma 4. *Suppose that l is coprime to the denominator of both r_1 and r_2. Then*

$$Z_l(r_1) Z_l(r_2) = Z_l (r_1 + r_2) \tag{24}$$

Proof.

$$T^{l^2(r_1+r_2)}Z_l^l(r_1)Z_l^l(r_2) = G_l^{-1}T^{r_1+r_2}G_l = T^{l^2(r_1+r_2)}Z_l^l\left(r_1+r_2\right)$$

according to Proposition 2. □

4. The Galois action revisited

In this section we translate the results of the previous section about the Galois action on Λ-matrices to statements about the Galois action on modular matrix elements.

Proposition 3. *For l coprime to the conductor,*

$$G_l = S^{-1}T^lST^{\hat{l}}ST^l \tag{25}$$

where $\hat{l}$ denotes the inverse of l modulo the conductor.

Proof. Let's apply σ_l to both sides of the modular relation Eq.(2). One gets

$$SG_lT^lG_l^{-1}S = T^{-l}SG_lT^{-l}$$

After rearranging and using Eq.(21) we get the assertion. □

Proposition 4. *The conductor equals the order N of T, and $F = \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_N\right]$.*

Proof. Clearly, N divides the conductor, for the eigenvalues of T are roots of unity. But for $l \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$ we have

$$\sigma_l\left(T\right) = T^l = T$$

and

$$\sigma_l\left(S\right) = T^lST^{\hat{l}}ST^l = S$$

according to Eqs.(8,7,25), consequently all such l fixes F, i.e. $F \subseteq \mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_N\right]$. On the other hand, if σ_l fixes F then $l \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, i.e. $Gal\left(F/\mathbb{Q}\right) = Gal\left(\mathbb{Q}\left[\zeta_N\right]/\mathbb{Q}\right)$. □

Remark 2. The above two propositions had been derived in[11], upon postulating Eq.(21). Earlier, they have been conjectured in [18].

Lemma 5. *If G_l is diagonal, then $G_l = \pm\mathbb{I}$.*

Proof. G_l diagonal means that π_l is the identity permutation. If we apply σ_l to S_{0p}, we get

$$\sigma_l\left(S_{0p}\right) = \varepsilon_l\left(0\right)S_{0p} = \varepsilon_l\left(p\right)S_{0p}$$

because $\pi_lp = p$. But $S_{0p} > 0$, consequently $\varepsilon_l(p) = \varepsilon_l(0) = \pm1$, proving the lemma. □

Proposition 5. *Let* N_0 *denote the order of the matrix* $\omega_0^{-1}T$*, i.e. the least common multiple of the denominators of the conformal weights. Then* $N = eN_0$*, where the integer* e *divides* 12*. Moreover, the greatest common divisor of* e *and* N_0 *is either 1 or 2.*

Proof. That N_0 divides N is obvious. If we consider the matrix T^{N_0}, then it will equal $\zeta = \omega_0^{N_0}$ times the identity matrix. But N is the exact order of T, consequently ζ is a primitive e-th root of unity. On the other hand, Eq.(21) implies

$$G_l^{-1} T^{N_0} G_l = T^{l^2 N_0}$$

for all l coprime to N, i.e.

$$\zeta^{l^2} = \zeta$$

In other words, the exponent of $(\mathbb{Z}/e\mathbb{Z})^*$ should divide 2, and this can only happen if e divides 24.

Next, let's consider $l = 1 + 6N_0$. By the above result, l is coprime to both N_0 and e, consequently it is also coprime to N. If $\hat{l}$ denotes its inverse mod N, then we have

$$\hat{l} = \begin{cases} 1 + 6N_0 & \text{if } N_0 \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 - 6N_0 & \text{if } N_0 \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

According to Eq.(25),

$$G_l = ST^l S^{-1} T^{\hat{l}} S T^l = \zeta^{6(2\pm1)} \mathbb{I}$$

But if G_l is diagonal, then it equals $\pm\mathbb{I}$, so that $\zeta^{12(2\pm1)} = 1$. Together with $\zeta^{24} = 1$, this yields $\zeta^{12} = 1$.

Finally, let D denote the gcd of e and N_0, and consider $l = 1 + \frac{N}{D}$. It is straightforward that l is coprime to N, and its inverse modulo N is $\hat{l} = 1 - \frac{N}{D}$. But

$$G_l = \zeta^{\frac{e}{D}} \mathbb{I}$$

and once again this should equal $\pm\mathbb{I}$, consequently D divides 2. □

Remark 3. As it turns out, there are no further restrictions on the value of N/N_0, i.e. each divisor of 12 occurs for some RCFT. But for a given value of N/N_0, techniques similar to the above yield more restrictions on N_0, e.g. $N/N_0 = 12$ cannot occur unless $N_0 \equiv \pm 1 \pmod 6$.

Corollary 2. N_0 *times the central charge is an even integer.*

Proof. If c denotes the central charge, then $\omega_0 = \exp\left(-\pi i \frac{c}{12}\right)$. But $\omega_0^N = 1$, so by the above $\omega_0^{12N_0} = 1$, proving the claim. □

Proposition 6. *There exists a function* $N(r)$ *such that the conductor* N *divides* $N(r)$ *if the number of primary fields - i.e. the dimension of the modular representation - is* r*.*

Proof. Let's denote by $s(r)$ the exponent of the symmetric group S_r of degree r. As the permutations π_l belong to S_r, it follows that $\pi_l^{s(r)}$ is the identity permutation, i.e. $G_l^{s(r)}$ is diagonal. By Lemma 5 this means that $G_l^{s(r)} = \pm\mathbb{I}$, which in turn implies that $l^{2s(r)} \equiv 1 \pmod N$ for all l, i.e. the exponent of the group $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^*$ divides $2s(r)$. Taking $N(r)$ to be the greatest integer satisfying this last condition proves the proposition. □

According to this proposition, for a given number of primary fields one has only a finite number of consistent choices for the conductor N and the matrix T. The upper bound for $N(r)$ given in the proof may be greatly improved by exploiting the known properties of the matrices G_l (e.g. that they commute), which leads to the following table for small values of r :

r	$N(r)$
2	240
3	5040
4	10080
5	1441440

5. THE KERNEL OF THE MODULAR REPRESENTATION

Recall that the kernel $\mathcal{K}$ consists of those modular transformations which are represented by the identity matrix, i.e.

$$\mathcal{K} = \left\{ m \in \Gamma(1) \,|\, M_p^q = \delta_{p,q} \right\}$$

Proposition 7. *Let* $m = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$*,* l *coprime to the conductor,* $lk = 1 + \alpha c$ *and*

$$\hat{m} = \begin{pmatrix} la & b + \alpha ad \\ c & kd \end{pmatrix}$$

Then

$$\sigma_l(M) = \hat{M} G_l T^{-\alpha ld} \tag{26}$$

Proof. According to Eq.(14)

$$\sigma_l(M) = \sigma_l\left(T^{a/c}\Lambda\left(\frac{a}{c}\right)T^{d/c}\right) = T^{al/c}\Lambda\left(\frac{al}{c}\right)G_l Z_l\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)T^{dl/c}$$

because $\left(\frac{a}{c}\right)^* = \frac{d}{c}$. But

$$\Lambda\left(\frac{al}{c}\right) = T^{-al/c}\hat{M}T^{-kd/c}$$

so

$$\sigma_l(M) = \hat{M}T^{-kd/c}G_l Z_l\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)T^{ld/c}$$

From Eq.(23)

$$T^{-kd/c}G_l = G_l T^{-l^2kd/c}Z_l\left(\frac{-lkd}{c}\right)$$

Putting all this together, we get

$$\sigma_l(M) = \hat{M}G_l T^{-ld(1+\alpha c)/c}Z_l\left(\frac{-d}{c}\right)Z_l\left(\frac{d}{c}\right)T^{ld/c}$$

which proves the claim according to Eq.(24). □

Corollary 3. *If* $m = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$ *with* d *coprime to the conductor, then*

$$\sigma_d(M) = T^b S^{-1} T^{-c} \sigma_d(S) \tag{27}$$

Proof. If we take $l = d$ and $k = a$ in Eq.(26), then $\alpha = b$ and $\hat{m} = t^b s^{-1} t^{-c} s t^b$. □

Theorem 2. *Let* d *be coprime to the conductor* N*. Then* $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1)$ *belongs to the kernel* $\mathcal{K}$ *if and only if*

$$\sigma_d(S)T^b = T^c S \tag{28}$$

Proof. If $m \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\sigma_d(M)$ is the identity matrix, and Eq.(27) implies the result. □

Remark 4. Apparently, the above criterion does only apply in case d is coprime to the conductor. But if $m \in \mathcal{K}$, then

$$t^{-k}mt^k = \begin{pmatrix} a-kc & b-k^2c+k(a-d) \\ c & d+kc \end{pmatrix}$$

also belongs to $\mathcal{K}$. Dirichlet's theorem on primes in arithmetic progressions ensures that $d + kc$ is coprime to the conductor for infinitely many k, reducing the general case to the coprime one.

For the next result, recall that

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma(1) \mid a, d \equiv 1 \pmod{N},\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{N}\right\}$$

and

$$\Gamma(N) = \left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N) \mid b \equiv 0 \pmod{N}\right\}$$

Theorem 3.

$$\mathcal{K}\cap\Gamma_1(N)=\Gamma(N)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{K}$ is a congruence subgroup of level N.

Proof. If $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}\in\Gamma_1(N)$, then Eq.(28) reduces to $ST^b=S$, whose only solution is $b\equiv 0 \pmod N$. ☐

The above result means that the modular representation factors through $SL_2(N)\cong\Gamma(1)/\Gamma(N)$, i.e. there exists a representation D of $SL_2(N)$ such that the modular representation is the composite map $D\circ\mu_N$, where we denote by μ_N the natural homomorphism $\Gamma(1)\to SL_2(N)$. The representation D gives us an elegant description of the Galois action.

Definition 1. For l coprime to N, define the automorphism $\tau_l: SL_2(N)\to SL_2(N)$ by

$$\tau_l\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} a & lb \\ \hat{l}c & d \end{pmatrix} \tag{29}$$

where $\hat{l}$ is the mod N inverse of l.

Theorem 4.

$$\sigma_l\circ D=D\circ\tau_l \tag{30}$$

Proof. As a homomorphic image of $\Gamma(1)$, $SL_2(N)$ is generated by $\mu_N(s)$ and $\mu_N(t)$, so it is enough to verify Eq.(30) for these matrices. For t, the lhs. reads

$$\sigma_l\circ D\circ\mu_N(t)=T^l$$

while the rhs. is

$$D\begin{pmatrix} 1 & l \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}=T^l$$

and these are clearly equal. For the case of s, the lhs. reads

$$\sigma_l(S)=SG_l=T^lST^{\hat{l}}ST^l$$

according to Eq.(25), while the rhs. is

$$D\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -l \\ \hat{l} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -l \\ \hat{l} & 0 \end{pmatrix}\equiv t^lst^{\hat{l}}st^l \pmod N$$

proving the claim. $\square$

Note that in terms of the representation D we have

$$G_l = D\begin{pmatrix} \hat{l} & 0 \\ 0 & l \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e. the matrices G_l represent the diagonal subgroup of $SL_2(N)$.

Without going into the details, we note without proof that Eq.(28) implies the following results :

1. $G_d^2 = 1$, consequently $d^4 \equiv 1 \pmod N$.
2. $b, c \equiv 1 - d^2 \pmod{N_0}$.
3. $\varepsilon_d(0) = \omega_0^{c-b}$, in particular $2c \equiv 2b \pmod N$.
4. $2c \equiv 0 \pmod{N_0}$.

6. Examples

Let's look at a couple of simple examples to illustrate the above results. First, let's consider the Lee-Yang model, i.e. the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(5,2)$. It has central charge $c = -\frac{22}{5}$ and two primary fields, whose conformal weights are 0 and $-\frac{1}{5}$. The S matrix reads

$$S = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}\begin{pmatrix} -\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right) \\ \sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{5}\right) & \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{5}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

The conductor N equals 60, while $N_0 = 5$, providing an example where the ratio N/N_0 attains the upper bound of Lemma. As it turns out, the image $\overline{\mathcal{K}} = \mu_N(\mathcal{K})$ of the kernel is a non-Abelian group of order 192, whose center is $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$, and whose derived subgroup is $\mathbb{Z}_2^3$. A small generating set for $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ is provided by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 19 & 5 \\ 5 & 14 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 31 & 35 \\ 5 & 56 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 56 & 5 \\ 35 & 31 \end{pmatrix}$$

As a second example, let's consider the Ising model, i.e. the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(4,3)$. The central charge is $c = \frac{1}{2}$, there are 3 primary fields of conformal weights $0, \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{16}$, and

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \sqrt{2} \\ 1 & 1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ \sqrt{2} & -\sqrt{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The conductor equals 48, while $N_0 = 16$. In this case $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ has order 64, it's derived subgroup is $\mathbb{Z}_2$, while its center is $\mathbb{Z}_2^2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$. A small generating set for $\overline{\mathcal{K}}$ is given by the $SL_2(48)$ matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 43 & 40 \\ 40 & 35 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 29 & 40 \\ 40 & 37 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 21 & 8 \\ 40 & 45 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 35 & 40 \\ 40 & 43 \end{pmatrix}$$

Finally, the following table contains the relevant data for some minimal models, the pqth entry giving respectively the conductor N, the ratio N/N_0, and the index $[\mathcal{K}:\Gamma(N)] = |\mu_N(\mathcal{K})|$ for the minimal model $\mathcal{M}(q,p)$ (the first entry in the first column being the Lee-Yang model discussed above).

p\q	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
2	60;12;192		42;6;48		36;4;24		33;3;16
3	40;2;16		168;6;128	32;1;4		120;3;32	88;2;16
4	240;3;64		336;3;128		144;1;8		528;3;128
5		120;1;4	840;6;256	480;3;32	360;2;32		1320;6;256
6			168;1;8				264;1;8
7				672;3;64	504;2;32	840;3;64	1848;6;256
8					288;1;4		1056;3;64
9						360;1;4	792;2;32
10							1320;3;64

As an aside, we note that for all minimal models $\mathcal{M}(q,p)$

$$N_0 = \begin{cases} q & p=2 \\ 4q & p=3 \\ 4pq & p>3 \end{cases}$$

and

$$N/N_0 = \frac{6}{Gcd(6,pq)}$$

for $p>3$, while

$$N/N_0 = \begin{cases} 6 & q \equiv 1 \pmod 6 \\ 3 & q \equiv 4 \pmod 6 \\ 2 & q \equiv 5 \pmod 6 \\ 1 & q \equiv 2 \pmod 6 \end{cases}$$

for $p=3$ and

$$N/N_0 = \begin{cases} 12 & q \equiv 1,5,13,17 \pmod{24} \\ 6 & q \equiv 7,23 \pmod{24} \\ 4 & q \equiv 9,21 \pmod{24} \\ 3 & q \equiv 11,19 \pmod{24} \\ 2 & q \equiv 15 \pmod{24} \\ 1 & q \equiv 3 \pmod{24} \end{cases}$$

for $p=2$.

7. SUMMARY

As we have seen in the previous sections, the theory of the Galois action supplemented by the Orbifold Covariance Principle leads to a host of interesting results about the arithmetic and group theoretic properties of the modular representation. In particular, we have been able to show that the kernel of the modular representation is always a congruence subgroup, whose level equals the conductor, i.e. the order of the Dehn-twist T. Eq.(28) gives a simple characterization of the kernel, which allows for efficient algorithms to determine the kernel explicitly. We have also determined the Galois action on arbitrary modular matrices, and gave an effective formula to compute the representation matrix of any modular transformation, Eq.(27). Moreover, the results of section 4 put severe restrictions on the spectrum of the Dehn-twist T, and imply that, for a given number of primary fields, there is only a finite number of allowed modular representations. It seems unlikely that the above results could be derived without using the theory of the Galois action, and provide a nice example of the use of arithmetic in mathematical physics.

Of course, a host of questions remain open. An obvious one is to find a direct characterization of the kernel. While Eq.(28) gives a simple criterion to decide whether a modular transformation belongs to the kernel in case its diagonal elements are coprime to the conductor, and in principle the general case can be reduced to this one, a simple criterion valid for any modular transformation would be welcome. One might also wonder to find a small set of numerical data that would characterize the kernel completely. As we have seen, these would include the parameters N and N_0, which already determine the kernel to a great extent, but are not enough to characterize it completely.

Another line of study is to investigate the algebro-geometric properties of the modular curve $X(\mathcal{K})$ associated to the kernel. This is a compact Riemann-surface, whose complex structure can be described by standard techniques, e.g. one can determine the period matrix, etc. It is also known that the field of meromorphic functions on $X(\mathcal{K})$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{C}(j)$ with Galois group $\Gamma(1)/\mathcal{K}$, where j is the classical modular invariant. As the genus one characters of the primaries are meromorphic functions on this modular curve, it is tempting to expect that some deeper properties of the RCFT should be encoded in structure of the curve $X(\mathcal{K})$.

Still another interesting question concerns the representation D of $SL_2(N)$ introduced in Section 5. We know already some of its properties, e.g. its kernel and its behavior under Galois transformations, Eq.(30), but there is clearly much more to learn about it. As the representation theory of $SL_2(N)$ is known, one would be interested to know which irreducible representations appear in D and with which multiplicities, etc. Of course, one should bear in mind that D is not simply a representation, but a representation with a choice of a distinguished basis.

Finally, let's make some comments about the relevance of the above on the classification program. According to Proposition 6, for a given number of primary fields it is in principle possible to enumerate all matrices S and T that are compatible with the results of this work, i.e. those which can occur as the generators of the modular representation of a consistent Rational Conformal Field Theory. Of course, it may happen that such a list would contain spurious entries which do not correspond to any RCFT, but one may hope that using all known properties of the modular representation, these spurious solutions can be discarded, leading to a complete list.

8. APPENDIX

In this appendix we review the definition and the basic properties of the Λ-matrices used in Section 3. See [19] for more details.

Let $r = \frac{k}{n}$ be a rational number in reduced form, i.e. with $n > 0$ and k and n coprime. Choose integers x and y such that $kx - ny = 1$, and define $r^* = \frac{x}{n}$. Then $m = \begin{pmatrix} k & y \\ n & x \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to $\Gamma(1)$, and we define the matrix $\Lambda(r)$ via

$$\Lambda(r)_p^q = \omega_p^{-r} M_p^q \omega_q^{-r^*}$$

or symbolically

$$\Lambda(r) = T^{-r} M T^{-r^*}$$

Of course, one should fix some definite branch of the logarithm to make the above definition meaningful, but different choices lead to equivalent results.

It is a simple matter to show that $\Lambda(r)$ is well defined, i.e. does not depend on the actual choice of x and y. In the same vein, $\Lambda(r)$ is periodic in r with period 1, i.e.

$$\Lambda(r+1) = \Lambda(r)$$

For $r = 0$ we just get back the S matrix

$$\Lambda(0) = S$$

and for a positive integer n we have

$$\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = T^{-\frac{1}{n}} S^{-1} T^{-n} S T^{-\frac{1}{n}} \tag{31}$$

Finally, one can show that

$$\Lambda(r^*)_p^q = \Lambda(r)_q^p$$

and

$$\Lambda(-r)_p^q = \overline{\Lambda(r)_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}}}$$

Acknowledgement. Many thanks to Terry Gannon for explaining me his work on the Galois action and the congruence subgroup problem.

REFERENCES

[1] J. Cardy, Nucl. Phys. **B270**, 186 (1986).
[2] E. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. **B300**, 360 (1988).

[3] G. Moore and N. Seiberg, Commun. Math. Phys. **123**, 177 (1989).
[4] P. Bantay, hep-th/0007164.
[5] E. Witten, Commun. Math. Phys. **121**, 351 (1989).
[6] J. Frohlich, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A20**, 5321 (1989).
[7] G. Moore, Nucl. Phys. **B293**, 139 (1987).
[8] W. Eholzer, Commun. Math. Phys. **172**, 623 (1995).
[9] W. Eholzer and N.-P. Skoruppa, Commun. Math. Phys. **174**, 117 (1995).
[10] M. Bauer, A. Coste, C. Itzykson and P. Ruelle, J. Geom. Phys. **22**, 134 (1997).
[11] A. Coste and T. Gannon, math-qa/9909080.
[12] J. de Boere and J. Goeree, Commun. Math. Phys. **139**, 267 (1991).
[13] A. Coste and T. Gannon, Phys. Lett. **B323**, 316 (1994).
[14] A. Klemm and M. G. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. **B245**, 53 (1990).
[15] P. Bantay, Phys. Lett. **B488**, 207 (2000).
[16] L. Borisov, M. B. Halpern and C. Schweigert, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A13**, 125 (1998).
[17] P. Bantay, Phys. Lett. **B419**, 175 (1998).
[18] M. Bauer, Advanced Series in Mathematical Physics Vol. 24, 152 (1997).
[19] P. Bantay, hep-th/9910079.

Current address: Institute for Theoretical Physics, Rolland Eotvos University, Budapest
E-mail address: `bantay@poe.elte.hu`